UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,804	08/08/2006	Patrick M. Hughes	17627(AP)	6541
51957 ALLERGAN, I	7590 06/23/200 NC .	8	EXAMINER	
2525 DUPONT	DRIVE, T2-7H		POLANSKY, GREGG	
IRVINE, CA 92612-1599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/597,804	HUGHES, PATRICK M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gregg Polansky	1611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 O</u>	ctober 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-37</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	· · · <u> </u>					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	e r .					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/08/2006 & 8/17/2006</u> .	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1614

1.

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Applicant's Information Disclosure Statements, filed 8/08/2006 and 8/17/2006,

are acknowledged and have been reviewed to the extent each is a proper citation on a

U.S. Patent. The IDS filed 8/17/2006 had a citation to WO2004/009582. The citation

was corrected to WO2004/009583 (document provided by Applicant).

2. In papers filed 10/11/2007, Applicant suggests restriction to the following groups:

Group I: Claims 1-14

Group II: Claims 15-22

Group III: Claims 23-35

Group IV: Claim 36

Group V: Claim 37

Applicant elected Group I without traverse.

3. Applicant's suggested restriction requirement and election without traverse of

Group I (Claims 1-14) is deemed appropriate and has been entered. The Restriction is

thus deemed to be proper and is made Final.

Art Unit: 1614

4. Claims 1-37 are pending.

Claims 15-37 are withdrawn from consideration in accordance with 37 CFR
 1.142(b) because they are contained in non-elected groups.

6. Claims 1-14 are presently pending.

Claim and Specification Objections

7. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following: There appears to by a typographical error in the structure recited by Claim 14 and the Specification (4th structure on page 12). The following structure is recited by the claim and Specification:

The "H₃CHO—" is not a proper group. Example 1, on pages 14-16 of the Specification, discloses "compounds specifically contemplated in relation to embodiments disclosed" in the Application. From this disclosure, it appears that Applicant intended the compound disclosed in Claim 14 to be an N-phenylsulfonyl prodrug of rabeprazole (a known proton pump inhibitor), which has the structure:

Art Unit: 1614

Clarification and appropriate correction of the claims and specification are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Garst et al. (WO 2004/009583).

Garst et al. teach the compositions of instant Claims 8 and 10-14 and that these compounds have improved aqueous solubility and bioavailability. See Abstract; pages 208-209, claim 1; pages 213-216, claim 21, pages 217-218, claims 28-33. The pH ranges recited by the instant claims are mealy a characteristic of an aqueous solution of of the disclosed compounds. Since the compositions taught by Garst et al. are the same as those instantly claimed, one would expect, absent evidence to the contrary, that aqueous solutions of the compositions of Garst et al. will have the same pH as the corresponding claimed aqueous compositions. It is noted that *In re Best* (195 USPQ)

Art Unit: 1614

430) and In re Fitzgerald (205 USPQ 594) discuss the support of rejections wherein the prior art discloses subject matter, which there is reason to believe inherently includes functions that are newly cited, or is identical to a product instantly claimed. In such a situation the burden is shifted to the applicants to "prove that subject matter to be shown in the prior art does not possess the characteristic relied on" (205 USPQ 594, second column, first full paragraph). There is no requirement that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the inherent disclosure at the time of invention, but only that the subject matter is in fact inherent in the prior art reference. Schering Corp. v. Geneva Pharm. Inc., 339 F.3d 1373, 1377, 67 USPQ2d 1664, 1668 (Fed. Cir. 2003); see also Toro Co. v. Deere & Co., 355 F.3d 1313, 1320, 69 USPQ2d 1584, 1590 (Fed. Cir. 2004) ("[T]he fact that a characteristic is a necessary feature or result of a prior-art embodiment (that is itself sufficiently described and enabled) is enough for inherent anticipation, even if that fact was unknown at the time of the prior invention"). Also see SmithKline Beecham Corp. v. Apotex Corp., 403 F.3d 1331, 1343-44, 74 USPQ2d 1398, 1406-07 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (holding that a prior art patent to an anhydrous form of a compound "inherently" anticipated the claimed hemihydrate form of the compound because practicing the process in the prior art to manufacture the anhydrous compound "inherently results in at least trace amounts of" the claimed hemihydrate even if the prior art did not discuss or recognize the hemihydrate).

Conclusion

10. Claims 1-14 are rejected.

Art Unit: 1614

11. No claims are allowed.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gregg Polansky whose telephone number is (571)272-

9070. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 9:30 A.M. - 7:00 P.M. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gregg Polansky/

Examiner, Art Unit 1611

/Ardin Marschel/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614